

Positron emission in super heavy ion- atom collisions

Decay of the vacuum

GSI-Darmstadt 9.5.2001

Fundamental question:

How behave bound electronic states in
supercritical electric fields

or

does the 1s state in super heavy ion atom collisions
degenerate with the negative energy continuum?

Figure: inner-shell level scheme as function of Z

Figure: excitation processes of vacuum states

Positron Creation in Heavy-Ion Collisions




Fig. 2. Binding energies of electronic states in atoms as function of nuclear charge Z . At $Z_c = 173$ the $1s$ -state dives into the negative energy continuum.

Positron Creation in Heavy-Ion Collisions




Fig. 6. Shows quasi-molecular states of electrons in a heavy-ion collision and indicates various excitation processes: (a and b) ejection of electrons out of the $1s$ -state, (c) spontaneous positron emission, (d and e) dynamical mechanisms of pair-production involving vacant bound states, (f) direct pair-production (shake-off of the vacuum polarization cloud).




Fig. 22. Spectra of positrons emitted in 5.8 MeV/u U + U collisions in coincidence with a scattered nucleus for three elected lab. ion angles. The fully drawn curves are calculated assuming Rutherford scattering only. The dashed lines show the effect of an additional nuclear reaction with a lifetime $T = 4 \times 10^{-20}$ s. A relative fraction of $q = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ reactions per elastically scattered ion (at 45°) has been assumed.

F. Bosch and B. Müller





Fig. 23. The U + Cm positron spectra at 6.05 MeV/u⁽⁶³⁾ show a remarkable structure in the selected region of scattered particles. Theoretical results (at $E_{lab} = 5.8$ MeV/u, folded with the detector efficiency) are compared with the experimental positron yield. The line structure can be explained by a nuclear reaction component with a delay time $T = 10^{-19}$ s and an admixture ratio $q = 10^{-3}$.

experimental problem:

1. create 1s vacancy during collision after passing distance of closest approach (Tenzer 16)

Momberger, Belkacem und Sorensen [Europhys.Lett. 32 (1995)]

Au + U, $E_{Lab} = 0.93$ GeV/Nukleon, $b = 0$



2. strong dynamically induced virtual photon

field => dynamically induced pair creation

3. nuclear background etc.




Fig. 24. Positron spectra of U + U and U + Th collisions measured by C. Kozhuharov, P. Kienle *et al.* (cf. Fig. 16) at two different scattering angle windows. Line (a) represents spectra expected from Rutherford trajectories, line (b) includes in addition positrons from a giant nuclear complex living for several times 10^{-20} s (Fits by U. Mueller).

resumee: *new* experiments (GSI and Argonne) show no evidence for line structure due to spontaneous decay

(pure Coulombic trajectory and even with nuclear stacking time)

Desireable *key* experiment for *decay of vacuum* effect:

**must vary and control the key parameter:
1ss vacancy probability $P^{1ss}(b,R,t)$**

this never done so far

in all experiments so far projectile charge state $q \ll Z^p - 2$

thick solid targets used \Rightarrow charge state distribution $q < Z^p - 2$


\Rightarrow incoming ion has no 1ss vacancy

proposal experiment:

vary projectile charge state from $q = Z^p - 3$ to Z^p

q	$Z^p - 3$	$Z^p - 2$	$Z^p - 1$	$Z^p - 0$
$P^{1ss}(b, R_{min}, t)$	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-4}$	10^{-2}	$2 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$S^{tot}(e^+) \text{ a.u.}$	$< 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-2}$	1	2

Expectations:




Problem:

when H-like U projectile penetrates target

=>

K - vacancy filling within 10^{-17} sec

Need special sandwich target:



$t = 10^{-17}$ sec is K vacancy life time in solid

at 6MeV/u => $Dx = 2$ atomic layers

at 600MeV/u => $Dx = 20$ atomic layers

expected positron yield => 511keV yield per ion:

q	Z^{P-3}	Z^{P-2}	Z^{P-1}	Z^{P-0}
P^{1sS}(b,Rmin,t)	<10⁻⁴	<10⁻⁴	10⁻²	2.10⁻²
S^{tot}(e⁺) barn	<10⁻¹	<10⁻¹	10	20

511 keV detection rate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 DN^{e^+} &= N^P N^T S^{tot}(e^+) DW(511keV)/4P \\
 &= N^P \cdot 10^{-8}/sec
 \end{aligned}$$

Collaborators:

**GSI: P.Mokler, T.Stöhlker, A.Bräuning-Demian,
C.Kozhuharov,**

HD: J.Ullrich, R.Dörner, R.Moshammer

Stockholm: R.Schuch

Gießen: H.Bräuning

**FFM: K.Stiebing, S.Hagmann, O.Jagutzki, L.Schmidt,
H.Schmidt-Böcking.**

